The Influence of Shopping Path Length on Sales Growth and Its Variance
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ABSTRACT

We study the influence of shopping path length on the number of items purchased. It is a well known fact in in-store shopper marketing that the longer the travel distance, the more items purchased. We analyze a shopping path data of 556 shoppers collected at a retail store in an urban area in Tokyo, Japan. We observed the fact the longer the travel distance, the greater the variance of the number of items purchased as well as its average. To explain this fact, we proposed a probability model of sequential purchase decisions using the Bernoulli process. We can estimate the number of items purchased as the binomial distribution given the shopping path. In the case study, we show how this assumption can be justified by the data from a real store.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there have been tremendous changes in shoppers' behavior due to changes in technological and business models. In view of the facts of Toys 'R' Us bankruptcy in the US and Amazon's acquisition of Whole Foods, most retailers have been struggling against larger e-commerce competitors. Faced with severe competitions not only among retail industry itself but with the EC industry, the need for retailers to innovate in marketing strategy in stores has never been critical. Over the years retailers are increasing attention allocated to practice in shopper marketing. In shopper marketing, marketers frequently distinguish the planned and unplanned buying. It is believed that the majority of buying decisions are unplanned, and that shopping path length and unplanned buying are correlated. To support this belief quantitatively, the relationship between in-store travel distance and unplanned spending has been studied (Kollat and Willett 1967; Rock1987; Granbois 1968; Hui et al. 2009c). Kollat and Willett (1967) claimed that “in-store stimuli usually reminds shoppers of present or future needs rather than evoking new needs”. Therefore, the longer the distance shoppers walk in the store, the more exposure to in-store stimuli to remind them of such potential needs.

In this study we extend this research on the relation between shopping path length and unplanned buying. We used shopping path data of 556 shoppers, collected in a grocery store located in an urban area in Tokyo, Japan. We observed the fact that “the longer the shopping path, the greater the variance, not just mean, of the number of the items purchased”. The primal interest of this research is to analyze the reason behind this fact. We modeled a dynamical behavior of shoppers using the visit-shop-buy framework proposed by Hui et al. (2009c). We proposed a probability model of sequential purchase decisions using the Bernoulli process. We can estimate the number of items bought as the binomial distribution given the shopping path. In the case study, we show how this assumption can be justified by the data from a real store.

The reminder of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we present literature review. In section 3, we describe the data used to validate our model. In section 4, we present our proposed model. In section 4, we present the result of application.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

This work relates to recent advancements in shopper marketing models. In-store behavior of shoppers and relationship between In-Store travel distance and unplanned spending has been studied for more than 60 years. Kollat and Willett (1967) studied customer differences in unplanned purchasing behavior. Granbois (1968) studied relation between customer response to variations in in-store factors such as price, layout, and display. Rock (1987) studied impulse buying behavior of shoppers and revealed subjective experience subjective experiences that distinguish the onset of the buying impulse, how shoppers cope with their impulsive urges to buy, and the types of negative
consequences they incur as a result of their impulsive buying. Hui et al. (2009b) decomposed the length of observed shopping path into three components: the length of the TSP-path, the additional distance because of order deviation, and the additional distance because of travel deviation. They explored the relationship between these deviations and different aspects of in-store shopping/purchase behavior. Hui et al. (2009c) proposed an integrated probability model in which a grocery path is divided into a series of three exhaustive sequential and interrelated decisions (visit, shop, and buy). Hui et al. (2013) studied the endogeneity of in-store travel distance. They used in-store radio frequency identification tracking and developed an instrumental variable approach to analyze the causal effect of in-store travel distance on unplanned purchase.

Other metrics to use are store-coverage (Granbois 1968; Hansen and Ottar Olsen 2006; Hui et al. 2013; Knox et al. 2011; Kollat and Willett 1967), the time spent in the store (Granbois 1968), and the number of aisles shopped (Granbois 1968), each of which has correlation with the unplanned buying. Clearly these measures are correlated (Sorensen 2017). For example, the proportion of a store covered may be determined by how much time the shopper has available to spend in the store or the items the shopper intends to purchase. Alternatively, the items needed may dictate how much of the store the shopper covers, which may, in turn, influence the time taken to complete a shopping trip.

Several studies have examined many other factors that drive unplanned purchases. The factors include budget deviation (Stilley et al., 2010), browsing and shopping (Hui et al. 2009), social influences (Zhang et al. 2014), and factors that influence unplanned purchases and spending (Bell et al., 2011; Hui et al. 2013; Inman, Winer, and Ferraro 2009). While this research stream has developed valuable managerial insights, most of the factors are very hard to control directly by retailers.

It is known from prior research that the shopping trips varying length depending on various factors. Those factors include shopping mission (Walters and Jamil 2003), the day of the week (Kahn and Schmitteke 1989; Tanskanen et al. 2002), store type (Sorensen 2009) and store layout (Sorensen 2016) Several papers compare shopping lengths across countries (i.e. Sorensen 2016). Sorensen (2009) studied data collected on 75,000 shoppers across a series of three stores to develop behavioral segmentation of shoppers. They developed three segmentations from mathematical clustering as quick, fill-in, stock-up. They discovered three segments vary in shopping path length. Similarly, Inman et al. (2009) divide shoppers into three groups according to whether they visited ‘all aisles’, ‘most aisles’, ‘a few aisles’. However, none of the above-cited research has focused on the fact that “the greater the distance, the greater the variance”, which is the central research question in this paper.

3. DATA SET AND OBSERVATION

3.1 Data Set

The data set used in this case study is the customer survey data of shopping path in the grocery store located at Tokyo in Japan. In this survey, investigators chase shoppers and record walking path of each shopper. Operating hours of a store is from AM 10:00 to PM 9:00. Day of the week of data collection is four successive days from Thursday to Sunday. Sample size is 556 and Table 1 presents detail sample size for Age/Sex segments. The floor layout is presented as in Figure 3. The aisle space between gondolas and their intersections are divided into 170 zones and labeled as nodes. Adjacent nodes are connected by arcs.

There are 170 nodes and 471 arcs, and the entrance node is located at left-upper corner and the registers are located at nodes 50, 52, 54, 56, 58, 60, 62, 64, 66 along the left edge, each of which is connected to the exit node 171. The representation used to model the floor is as follows. Let $N = \{i | i = 0, 1, \ldots, n + 1\}$ be a set of zones with 0 being an entrance zone, 1, \ldots, $n$ being in-store zones, and $n + 1$ being an exit zone. $S_i = \{s | s = 1, \ldots, n_i\}$ denotes a set of items located in zone $i$, $S = S_1 \cup \cdots \cup S_n$ denotes a set of all items. The shopping-path for each shopper is recorded using this zone number, e.g., $0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 70 \rightarrow 69 \rightarrow 71 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 137 \rightarrow 58 \rightarrow 170$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20-30</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-50</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-60</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-70</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥70</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>397</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Sample Size for Age/Sex Segments

![Figure 3 Floor Layout](image-url)
3.2 Observation

Travel distance segmented by sex and age is presented in Table 2. Average distance is 207 meters for total shoppers, 180 meters for male, and 213 meters for female. We see that female walks longer distance in all age segments.

To see the relationship between the travel distance and the number of items purchased, we made the scatter diagram between the number of items purchased and distance, shopping time, the number of zones visited in Figure 2, 3, and 4 respectively. From the Figure 2, we see the relationship that “the longer the distance, the more items purchased”. However, we see that some of shoppers that walked long, say over 400 meters, did not spend a lot. On the other hand, there are some shoppers who bought many items even though the path-length is average, say around 300 meters.

We see the same tendency from Figure 3 and Figure 4. Looking at the result, while the correlation between path length and the number of items is confirmed, there are some shoppers that cannot be analyzed under this framework.

Especially, we realized the fact those deviate shoppers increase as the travel distance increase. To say that in another word, we have that “the longer the distance, the greater the variance”. This fact is merely mentioned in the previous studies. It prompted needs to segment shoppers and know which factors that affect the number of purchases for each of segments. The data set used in this case study is the customer survey data of shopping path in the grocery store located at Tokyo in Japan. In this survey, investigators chase shoppers and record walking path of each shopper.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Average Distance</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-30</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-50</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-60</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-70</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Travel Distance by Age/Sex Segments

(M: Male, F: Female)

4. PROPOSED MODEL

The proposed model divide a shopping path into a series of three sequential and interrelated decisions the visit-shop-buy (Sorensen 2016; Hui et al. 2009a). Using this double-convolution process we can divide the dynamical behavior of shoppers as in Figure 5.

We let \( n_k \) be the total number of visits of shopper \( k \) visits, \( v_{kt} \) be the node the customer \( k \) visits at time \( t \) and \( v_k = \{v_{k1}, \ldots, v_{kn_k}\} \) denote the shopping path of the customer \( k \) (visited nodes).

In this model, we distinguish the term the zone and the node. The zone is used to describe the physical segments of the floor as described in Figure ref{floor}, whereas the node is used to describe a virtual sequence of shopper’s path. We assume that each node locates only one item. For example, if shopper \( k \) visits the zone \( i \) to locate two items, say \( a \) and \( b \), at time \( t \), we define two (dummy) nodes \( v_{it} \) and \( v_{it+1} \) to locate the item \( a \) and \( v_{it+1} \) to locate the item \( b \).

We let \( s_{kt} \) denote the dummy node denoting the customer \( k \) shops at time \( t \) and \( b_{kt} \) denote the dummy node denoting the customer \( k \) buys at time \( t \). At each step \( t \), shopper \( k \) visited \( v_{kt} \) choose to shop and to buy. This decision can be denoted as

\[
X_{kt}^a = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the customer } k \text{ shops at } v_{kt} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

\[
X_{kt}^b = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the customer } k \text{ buys at } v_{kt} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

We let \( p_{kt}^a = \text{prob}(X_{kt}^a = 1) \) denote the probability of the customer \( k \) shops at \( v_{kt} \), \( p_{kt}^b = \text{prob}(X_{kt}^b = 1 | X_{kt}^a = 1) \) denote the conditional probability of the customer \( k \) buys at
Bernoulli process modeling is appropriate. Each other. This fact supports that our assumption of \( p \) the fact that "on the shopping value calculated as The expected number and variance of \( \sigma^2 \)

\[ \mathbb{E}(\text{number and variance of } n_b) \text{ depends on the shopping-path length } n_v, \text{ whereas in the normal regression assumption, the variance is always } \sigma^2. \]

With normal approximation \( n_b \sim \mathcal{N}(n_v p, n_v p(1-p)) \), we can have approximated upper bound (AUB) and lower bound (ALB) of \( \gamma \)-confidence region as

\[ \text{AUB} = n_v p + z_n p(1-p) \]
\[ \text{LUB} = n_v p - z_n p(1-p) \]

where \( z \) is the quantile \( \Phi^{-1}(\gamma) \) of the standard normal distribution.

We draw the 95% confidence region with \( z = 1.96 \) onto the scatter diagram as in Figure 6. We see that most of the points are within the bounds.

Finally, we discuss the managerial insight from this result. First, in order to increase the unplanned buying, it is important to know what factors that affect the number of purchases. The proposed Bernoulli process modeling includes the dynamical behavior of shoppers. The proposed approach divides a shopping path into a series of three exhaustive sequential and interrelated decisions the visit-shop-buy (Sorensen 2016; Hui et al. 2009a). Using this double-conversion process we can divide the number of items purchased as follows:

\[ n_b = p_s \times p_b \times n_v \]

This distinction is important, because it allows us to take different in-store marketing activities depending on which of conversions to fail. The first measure \( n_s \) plays a critical role in the effectiveness of in-store marketing activities, while the other two measures \( p_s \) and \( p_b \) can be rather accounted for by products' attractiveness itself. To estimate \( n_s \), it is necessary to understand shopping behaviors of customers deeply.

Second, the results justify the relationship that "the longer the distance, the more items purchased". There is a strong consensus among both academia and industry that many purchases in a retail store are unplanned. Underhill (1999) claimed that "Supermarkets are places of high impulse buying..., 60 to 70 percent of purchases there were unplanned, grocery industry studies have shown us". The results indicate the importance of exposure is very important for generating unplanned (impulse) purchases. To be influenced by in-store stimuli, the travel-distance plays a critical role. There are several shopper marketing strategies aimed at increasing travel distance such as placing popular products in scattered locations throughout the store.
Finally, from the result, we see that the need to be aware of the effect of the variance is examined. Systematic efforts to describe the characteristics of the variance in trip length have not been made despite its relevance to the number of item purchased. Variance presents a problem for retailers as it suggests that shoppers have different needs and meeting those needs with a single approach or layout may be difficult.

Figure 6 Scatter Diagram between # of Nodes Visited and # of Items Purchased

6. CONCLUSION

The length of shopping-path is a key metric for managing in-store merchandising as it allows shoppers to exposure to in-store stimuli to remind them of potential shopping needs. In this study, we analyzed the shopping-path data and found the fact "the longer the travel distance, the greater the variance of the number of items purchased". To explain this fact, we proposed a probability model of sequential purchase decisions using the Bernoulli process. We can estimate the number of items purchased as the binomial distribution given the shopping path.

For future research direction, we can analyze behavior of shoppers who bought many items even though the path-length is average. We should also consider the heterogeneity of shoppers or items. By segmenting them, we can get more accurate path-length estimation.
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